Meeting Date: 1/21/16

AGENDA REPORT

Oversight Board for Successor Agency to the City of Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency



Date:

January 21, 2016

To:

Oversight Board for Action

From:

City Manager/Executive Officer to Successor Agency

Subject:

Adoption of a Resolution of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency for the City of Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency Approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule and an Administrative Budget for the Period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The California State Legislature enacted Assembly Bill x1 26 (the "Dissolution Act") to dissolve redevelopment agencies formed under the Community Redevelopment Law. The California Supreme Court in its decision in *California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos*, issued December 29, 2011 (the "Supreme Court Decision"), declared the Dissolution Act to be constitutional. Under the Dissolution Act, all California redevelopment agencies were dissolved effective February 1, 2012, and various actions are now required by successor agencies to unwind the affairs of all former redevelopment agencies. The Dissolution Act was amended by AB 1484, adopted by the Legislature and approved by the Governor on June 27, 2012 as a budget trailer bill and further amended by SB 107 approved by the Governor on September 22, 2015.

SB 107 amended the Dissolution Act to require that the Successor Agency submit a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) annually rather than every six months. The ROPS is to set forth the enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency. The Successor Agency can only make payments on those enforceable obligations listed on a ROPS approved by both the Oversight Board and the Department of Finance (DOF). The Successor Agency is not authorized to make any payments for obligations not listed on an approved ROPS.

Once the Oversight Board approves a ROPS it is transmitted by the Successor Agency to the Department of Finance for its review and approval. The annual ROPS must be submitted to DOF no later than February 1 of each year. Failure to submit the ROPS in time can result in penalties of up to \$10,000. The DOF reviews and approves or disapproves each item listed on a ROPS. DOF must complete its review of the annual ROPS by April 15. If the DOF disapproves any item listed on the ROPS approved by the Oversight Board, the Successor Agency may request a meet and confer with the DOF to present additional evidence regarding the disputed item. After the conclusion of the meet and confer, DOF makes a final determination of the approved enforceable obligation. DOF will not consider items for approval on a ROPS unless the item is approved by the Oversight Board.

Oversight Board for Action Subject: ROPS and Administrative Budget for July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 January 21, 2016

AB 1484 changed the process for review of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule by the County Auditor-Controller. Rather than certifying the ROPS prior to the Oversight Board approval as was required for the first ROPS, Health and Safety Code Section 34182.5 now provides that the County Auditor-Controller may review the ROPS and object to the inclusion of any items that are not demonstrated to be enforceable obligations. The County Auditor-Controller's review can take place before or after the Oversight Board approval and any such objections by the County Auditor-Controller are to be transmitted to the Oversight Board, the DOF and the Successor Agency. If the Oversight Board disputes the findings of the County Auditor-Controller, it may refer the matter to the DOF for a determination of what will be included on the ROPS. The Successor Agency submitted a draft version of the ROPS to the County Auditor-Controller prior to the Oversight Board meeting.

Approve Administrative Budget. The Dissolution Act also requires the Successor Agency to prepare an administrative budget and submit it to the Oversight Board for approval. In connection and coordination with preparation of the ROPS, staff of the Successor Agency has also prepared the required administrative budget. Through the accompanying Resolution, it is recommended that the Oversight Board approve the Successor Agency's administrative budget. The City will not receive the administrative allowance shown therein as the Successor Agency will not receive any RPTTF for the ROPS, therefore based on SB 107; the Successor Agency is not entitled to receive funds for the administrative budget.

ROPS 16-17

Page 2

The Successor Agency has prepared the attached ROPS 16-17. Line items 4-6 and 8 are items that have appeared on prior ROPS and been approved by the Oversight Board and the DOF. Line item 40 is the formal approval of \$11,716,480 in 1999 and 2003 Bond proceeds used for the construction of the Northside Branch Library. Per terms of "Settlement Agreement" between City, County and other Parties, this line item was added to validate bond funds previously expended for construction of the Northside Branch Library. No actual funds will be expended in the ROPS 16-17 period as these expenditures have already been occurred. The current ROPS also includes defeasance of all agency debt with funds expected to be provided by the sale of land assets per the approved Long Range Property Management Plan.

Oversight Board for Action

Subject: ROPS and Administrative Budget for July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

January 21, 2016

Page 3

FISCAL IMPACT:

As shown on the attached ROPS, as of July 1, 2016, the Successor Agency will have a total of about \$124,634,174 million of debt or obligations outstanding (including interest that would accrue through maturity). Each January 2 and June 1, the County of Santa Clara will allocate revenue from individual Successor Agency trust funds to make payments listed on the ROPS for each six month period to the extent that the Successor Agency is not holding sufficient funds to pay the enforceable obligations. The Successor Agency is expected to have sufficient funds to fully cover the cost of the enforceable obligations shown on the ROPS 16-17, therefore, all property tax monies in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) will be distributed out to the taxing entities.

For fiscal year 2016-17, the law changed and only allows the Successor Agency to receive an administrative cost allowance based on the greater of 3% of the Successor Agency's allocated property tax or a minimum of \$250,000, on an annual fiscal year basis. The Successor Agency will not receive any administrative cost allowance because it will not receive any allocated property tax.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Oversight Board adopt a resolution that:

- a) Approves the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017; and
- b) Approves the Successor Agency Administrative Budget for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

Julio J. Fuentes

City Manager/Executive Officer to Successor Agency

Documents Related to this Report:

1) Resolution - ROPS 16-17 and Administrative Budget

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-___ (OVERSIGHT BOARD)

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE AND AN ADMINSTRATIVE BUDGET FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2016 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017, MAKING RELATED FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS TAKING ACTIONS RELATED IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY
OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA AS
FOLLOWS

WHEREAS, the California state legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1x 26 (the "Dissolution Act") to dissolve redevelopment agencies formed under the Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code section 33000 et seq.);

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34173, the City Council of the City of Santa Clara (the "City Council") declared that the City of Santa Clara, a charter city (the "City"), would act as successor agency (the "Successor Agency") for the dissolved City of Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency (the "Dissolved RDA") effective February 1, 2012;

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2012, the RDA was dissolved pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34172;

WHEREAS, the Dissolution Act provides for the appointment of an oversight board (the "Oversight Board") with specific duties to approve certain Successor Agency actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34180 and to direct the Successor Agency in certain other actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34181;

WHEREAS, pursuant to AB 1484 ("AB 1484"), enacted June 27, 2012, to amend various provisions of the Dissolution Act, the Successor Agency is now declared to be a separate legal entity from the City;

WHEREAS, under the Dissolution Act, the Proposed ROPS 16-17 and the Administrative Budget for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 must be submitted to the Oversight Board for the Oversight Board's approval; and,

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(a)(4) allows the Successor Agency to make payments of enforceable obligations from sources other than those listed on the ROPS with the approval of the Oversight Board; and

WHEREAS, the accompanying staff report provides supporting information upon which the actions set forth in this Resolution are based.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Oversight Board hereby finds, resolves, and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct, and, together with information provided by the Successor Agency staff and the public, form the basis for the approvals, findings, resolutions, and determinations set forth below.

SECTION 2. Under Health and Safety Code section 34180(g), the Oversight Board must approve the establishment of the recognized obligation payment schedules of the Successor Agency.

SECTION 3. The Oversight Board hereby approves the ROPS 16-17 attached hereto as Attachment 1 (the "Approved ROPS 16-17"). In connection with such approval, the Oversight Board makes the specific findings set forth below.

SECTION 4. The Oversight Board has examined the items on the Approved ROPS 16-17 and finds that each of them is necessary for the continued maintenance and preservation of property owned by the Successor Agency until disposition in accordance with the Dissolution Act, the continued administration of the ongoing agreements herein approved by the Oversight Board, or the expeditious wind-down of the affairs of the Dissolved RDA by the Successor Agency.

SECTION 5. Under Health and Safety Code section 34177(j), the Oversight Board must approve the Administrative Budget for the Successor Agency.

SECTION 6. In accordance with the Dissolution Act, the Oversight Board hereby approves the Administrative Budget for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 attached hereto as Attachment 2 (the "Approved Administrative Budget").

SECTION 7. The Oversight Board Chairperson, Successor Agency Director of Finance, and Oversight Board General Counsel are collectively authorized to make any technical or clerical corrections to the approved ROPS 16-17 prior to filing with DOF. The Oversight Board hereby authorizes and directs the Successor Agency staff to take all actions necessary under the Dissolution Act to file, post, mail or otherwise deliver via electronic mail, internet posting, and/or hardcopy, all notices and transmittals necessary or convenient in connection with the approval of the Approved ROPS 16-17 and the Approved Administrative Budget.

SECTION 8. This Resolution shall take effect at the time and in the manner prescribed in Health and Safety Code section 34179(h).

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify the forgoing to be a true copy of a resolution passed and adopted by the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency for the City of Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency at a regular meeting thereof held on 21st day of January 2016, by the following vote:

AYES:

BOARD MEMBERS:

NOES:

BOARD MEMBERS:

ABSTAIN:

BOARD MEMBERS:

ABSENT:

BOARD MEMBERS:

APPROVE:

ATTEST:

Donald F. Gage Chairperson Jennifer Yamaguma Clerk to the Oversight Board

Attachments incorporated by reference:

- 1. Approved ROPS 16-17
- 2. Approved Administrative Budget

J:\CITYMGR\AGENDA\Agen1516\01-21-16 Oversight Board - ROPS 16-17 Resolution.docx

Attachment 1

[Insert APPROVED ROPS 16-17]

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 Period

Santa Clara

Successor Agency:

County	Santa Clara						
Current	Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS	S Detail)	1	6-17A Total	16	6-17B Total	ROPS 16-17 Total
Α	Enforceable Obligations Funded with Non-Redevelopment Pro Sources (B+C+D):	operty Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding	\$	25,526,188	\$	83,370,853	\$ 108,897,041
В	Bond Proceeds Funding			12,180,337		32,741,957	44,922,294
C	Reserve Balance Funding			-		-	-
D	Other Funding			13,345,851		50,628,896	63,974,747
E	Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
F	Non-Administrative Costs					-	-
G	Administrative Costs		-	-			
Н	Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):		\$	25,526,188	\$	83,370,853	\$ 108,897,041
Certification of Oversight Board Chairman: Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.		Name /s/		Title			
		Signature		Date			

Santa Clara Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Report of Cash Balances (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see CASH BALANCE TIPS SHEET В D E G Н **Fund Sources RPTTF Bond Proceeds** Reserve Balance Other Prior ROPS Prior ROPS **RPTTF** period balances Bonds issued on and DDR RPTTF distributed as Rent, Non-Admin or before Bonds issued on balances reserve for future grants, and 12/31/10 or after 01/01/11 Admin Cash Balance Information by ROPS Period retained period(s) interest, etc. Comments ROPS 15-16A Actuals (07/01/15 - 12/31/15) 1 Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/15) 20.251,709 27.768.433 36.953 2 Revenue/Income (Actual 12/31/15) C2) Restricted interest earnings of \$979. D2) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 15-16A distribution from the Restricted interest earnings of \$1,542. G3) County Auditor-Controller during June 2015 Reciept of \$245,925 in DDR interest balance. Receipt of \$33,866,493 of post DDR lease 979 40.755.218 1.542 revenues. Receipt of current lease revenues. 3 Expenditures for ROPS 15-16A Enforceable Obligations (Actual C3) 1999 Bond proceeds of \$12,208,448 used to 12/31/15) defease bonds D3) Ajustment of parity reserve balance after 1999 Bonds defeasense G3) Payment of available lease revenues of \$26,692,418 for defeasence of 1999 Bonds, DDR interest of \$245,925 distributed to County. 12,564,936 (356,488)34,747,056 Payment of approved ROPS items. 4 Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 12/31/15) C4) Parity reserve of \$6,728,822, 1999 Bond proceeds of \$958.871 as reimbursement due to RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s) the City. D4) Parity reserve of \$3,124,351, 2011 Bond proceeds of \$25,000,570 plus interest held for defeasance of bonds. 4,615,494 28,126,463 5 ROPS 15-16A RPTTF Balances Remaining No entry required 36,953 6 Ending Actual Available Cash Balance C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)\$ 3,072,258 \$ 6,008,162 | \$ ROPS 15-16B Estimate (01/01/16 - 06/30/16) 7 Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 01/01/16) (C, D, E, G = 4 + 6, F = H4 + F4 + F6, and H = 5 + 6)\$ 7,687,752 \$ 28,126,463 \$ \$ 6,008,162 \$ 36,953 8 Revenue/Income (Estimate 06/30/16) G8) Receipt of \$19,759,355 from "Settle RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 15-16B distribution from the Agreement" with County and other Parties, County Auditor-Controller during January 2016 including Convention Center purchase. Estimate 27,089,534 of lease revenues. 9 Expenditures for ROPS 15-16B Enforceable Obligations (Estimate C9 \$958,871 to reimburse City per ROPS 15-16B 06/30/16) Item 39 and \$1,649,530 available reserve parity to be used for 2003 and 2001 debt interest payment. G9) \$7,221,743 library distribution to taxing entities and \$5,229,651 ROPS 15-16B 2,608,401 5,229,651 12,451,394 Enforable Obligations 10 Retention of Available Cash Balance (Estimate 06/30/16) G10) July 1st debt payment on the 2011 RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as Cooperation and Predevelopment Funding reserve for future period(s) 4,615,494 28,126,463 12,573,402 Agreement plus remaing princiapl balance 11 Ending Estimated Available Cash Balance (7 + 8 - 9 -10) 463,857 \$ \$ (5,229,651) \$ \$ 8,072,900 | \$ 36,953

Santa Clara Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - ROPS Detail

July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

							1	(,,,,		Its III WIIOIE DOIL											_	
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	к	L	м	N	О	Р	Q	R	s	т	U	v	w
													16-17A						16-17B			
											Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund				Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund				[]			
			Contract/Agreement	Contract/Agreement				Total Outstanding		ROPS 16-17		(Non-RPTTF)		RP	TTF	16-17A		(Non-RPTTF)		RP	TF	16-17B
Item#	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Debt or Obligation	Retired	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance		Non-Admin	Admin	Total		Reserve Balance		Non-Admin	Admin	Total
	1 1000 Tay Allocation Bonds Series A	Bonds Issued On or Before	8/3/1999	6/1/2023	Bank of New York	Bond issue to fund non-housing	Bayshore North	\$ 124,634,174	Υ	\$ 108,897,041	\$ 12,180,337	\$ -	\$ 13,345,851	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,526,188 \$ -	\$ 32,741,957	\$ -	\$ 50,628,896	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 83,370,853
3	1 1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Series A 2 1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Series B	Bonds Issued On or Before	8/18/1999	6/1/2017	Bank of New York	Bond issue to fund non-housing projects	Bayshore North	8	Y	\$ -						\$ -		-				\$ -
	4 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds	12/31/10 Bonds Issued On or Before	4/30/2003	6/1/2023	Bank of New York	Bond issue to fund non-housing	Bayshore North	43,562,250	N	\$ 37,842,000	463,857		437,143			\$ 901,000	4,615,494		32,325,506			\$ 36,941,000
	5 2011 Tax Allocation Bonds	12/31/10 Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	5/11/2011	6/1/2026	Bank of New York	projects Bond issue to fund non-housing	Bayshore North	56,401,125	N	\$ 46,685,159			325,306			\$ 325,306	28,126,463		18,233,390			\$ 46,359,853
	6 Miscellaneous Bond Costs	Fees	8/3/1999	6/1/2026	Various	projects Fiscal Agent Fees, Arbitrage Fees, etc.	Bayshore North	108,000	N	\$ 80,000			10,000			\$ 10,000			70,000			\$ 70,000
				2/1/2014	City of Santa Clara	Reimbursement Agreement -	Bayshore North		Y				×									
1	7 2002 Series B COPS (Agency Share)	12/31/10				Agency/City		40 040 040	1.00	\$ 12,573,402			10.572.402			e 40 570 400						
	8 2011 Cooperation and Predevelopment Funding Agreement, as modified by First	Third-Party Loans	2/22/2011	7/31/2017	Forty Niners SC Stadium Company LLC	Repayment of a loan to assist a publicly owned stadium	Bayshore North	12,846,319	N	\$ 12,573,402			12,573,402			\$ 12,573,402						•
1	Amendment thereto 1 City ROPS Loan	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/22/2012	12/31/9999	City of Santa Clara	Cash Flow Loan for ROPS payments	Bayshore North		Y													
	2 Independent Legal Counsel	Legal	7/17/2012	12/31/9999	Hilda Cantu Montoy	Legal Counsel for Oversight Board	All		N N	s -						\$ -						\$ -
	3 Administrative Cost Allowance	Admin Costs	7/1/2015	12/31/2015	City of Santa Clara	Reimbursement for Administrative Expenses	MI			٠ -						•						-
1	4 Defense of Lawsuit Filed by County	Legal	1/8/2013	6/30/2014	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP/Goldfarb & Lipman LLP	Payment of legal fees to defend lawsuits	All		Y			13-17										
3	1 Subleasehold Interest - Sports and Open Space Authority (SOSA)	Miscellaneous	3/22/1995	3/22/2050	City of Santa Clara and Sports and Open Space	Per Other Funds DDR Attachment D, Item 29a and 29b per pre-meet and	Bayshore North		Y													
3	2 Martinson Child Development Center, 1350 Hope Drive	Property Maintenance	11/18/2003	11/17/2038	Authority United Fire Safety	confer advice from DOF. Repairs and maintenance for Martinson Child Development Center, 1350 Hope			N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
- 3	3 Unspent 1999 Bond Proceeds	Bonds Issued On or Before	8/3/1999	6/1/2023	Trustee	Drive Defease/Redeem Unspent Bond	Bayshore North	<u> </u>	Y	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	4 Unspent 2011 Bond Proceeds	12/31/10 Bonds Issued After 12/31/10		6/1/2026	Trustee	Proceeds Defease/Redeem Unspent Bond	Bayshore North	-	Y	S -						s -			,			s -
		ECHAPTICAL CONTROL CON				Proceeds ROPS I - Item #6 shortfall	Bayshore North		Y							•						•
14	5 ROPS I, Item #6, Shortfall	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	12/31/2012	12/31/9999	Successor Agency	and the control of th	Carried St. Date of St. Control of S	-		-						•						
3	6 Convention Center Operations	Professional Services	7/1/2015	12/31/2015	Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce	Convention Center operations pending disposition	Bayshore North		Y									The second				
	7 Convention Center Maintenance District Assessment	Property Maintenance	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	Convention Center Maintenance District	Approximately 45% of maintenance district costs for common areas	Bayshore North		Y													
- 3	8 Convention Center - property	Property Maintenance	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	TBD	Building property insurance for Convention Center	Bayshore North		Y										HENT A			
	Insurance 39 Tasman Garage Project	Improvement/Infrastructure	5/24/2011	12/31/2014	City of Santa Clara	1999 Bonds proceeds used to	Bayshore North		Y	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
						reimburse the City of Santa Clara for final contruction costs of the Tasman Garage					44.740.400											
	Northside Library	Improvement/Infrastructure	7/1/2012	5/31/2012	Various	1999 & 2003 Bonds proceeds used for construction of the Northside Library	Bayshore North	11,716,480	N	\$ 11,716,480	11,716,480					\$ 11,716,480						•
-	41								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	12 13								N N	\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -						\$ -
	14								N N							\$ - \$ -		-				\$ -
	15 16								N N	\$ -						\$ -						s -
-	17 18								N							\$ -						\$ -
	49								N	\$ -						\$ - \$ -						\$ -
	51								N N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	52 53								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	54 55								N N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	56 57								N N							\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$
	58								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	59 60								N N	\$ -						\$ - \$ -						\$ -
= 3	61								N N	\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$						s -
1	62 63								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	64 65 66								N N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
									N	\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$						S -
	67 68					1	1		N N							\$ -						\$ -
	69 70								N N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -
	71 72		P 2						N N							\$ - \$ -						S -
	73								N	\$ -						\$ -						s -
	74 75								N N	\$ -						\$ -			-			\$ - \$ -
	76 77								N N	S -				- 1000-1		\$ - \$ -						\$ - \$ -
	78								N	\$ -						\$ -						\$ -

	Santa Clara Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 16-17) - Notes July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017
Item #	Notes/Comments
4	With approval of the Long Range Property Management Plan, the Successor Agency fully expects that land sale proceeds would be sufficient to fully redeem these bonds no later than the call date of 06/01/2017. The difference in the "Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation" and "ROPS 16-17 Total" is the anticipated interest savings.
	With approval of the Long Range Property Management Plan, the Successor Agency fully expects that land sale proceeds would be sufficient to defease these bonds no later than the call date of 06/01/2017. The difference in the "Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation" and "ROPS 16-17 Total" is the anticipated interest savings.
	Additional anticipated miscellaneous bond costs related to redemption/defeasance of all agency debt Should the OB and DOF approve a resolution to use current cash balance of the Successor Agency, then this debt will be fully paid off prior to the ROPS 16-17, but since that approval will not occur until after submission, the debt is shown on the ROPS 16-17 with an assumption of full payment in 16-17.
40	Per terms of "Settlement Agreement" between City, County and other Parties, this line item was added to validate bond funds previously expended for construction of the Northside Branch Library. No actual funds will be expended in the ROPS 16-17 period as this expense has already occurred.

Attachment 2

[Insert Approved Administrative Budget]

REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY CITY OF SANTA CLARA ROPS 16-17 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

This document constitutes the Administrative Budget of the Redevelopment Successor Agency of the City of Santa Clara. This Administrative Budget has been prepared by the Redevelopment Successor Agency (RSA) of the City of Santa Clara and submitted to the Santa Clara Oversight Board for approval in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j). The Administrative Budget accompanies the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) prepared pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(l) for the period indicated. This Administrative Budget is prepared in three parts to correspond to the three elements described for the Administrative Budget in Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j) (1), (2), and (3).

1. Estimated Amounts For Successor Agency Administrative Cost (Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j)(1).

Administrative Activities	Job Classification Services & Expenditures	Estimated Hours	Annual Budget			
Successor Agency Administration						
Scope of Services to include but not	City Manager	50	\$ 9,915			
<u>limited to</u> ; preparation of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) including the administrative budget, response to inquires or requests from the	Economic Development Officer / Assistant City Manager	300	\$ 40,513			
Santa Clara County and Department of Finance, administration and oversight of	Director of Finance / Assistant City Manager	100	\$ 14,304			
property liquidation, administrative support of Oversight Board, preparation of annual audit,	Deputy City Manager	20	\$ 2,482			
administrative contract management and bill payment, and administration and oversight of	Assistant Director of Finance	75	\$ 7,435			
various lease contracts including billing and review of compliance	Accounting Manager	50	\$ 4,529			
with lease terms.	Principal Accountant	50	\$ 4,217			
9	Financial Analyst	0	\$ -			
		645	\$ 83,395			

Administrative Activities	Job Classification Services & Expenditures	Estimated Hours	Annual Budget
Legal Advice for RSA Issues			
– ROPS Administration			
Legal Services Administration	City Attorney	45	\$ 7,152
RSA – ROPS Administration			
Contract of legal services to assist the Oversight Board	Legal Services		\$ 30,000
Contract of legal services to assist in administration of Successor Agency	Legal Services		\$ 70,000
City Wide Overhead – In Lieu			
City Overhead Charges (general accounting functions, payroll, information technology and office and equipment)	City Overhead Charges	65%	\$ 58,856
Total Administrative Budget	;		\$ 249,403
Annual Minimum Administrative Cost Allowance (3% of RPTTF allocated or \$250,000 whichever is greater)	,		\$ 250,000

2. Proposed Source of Payment For Above-Identified Administrative Costs (Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j)(2).

The City will not receive the administrative allowance shown herein as the Successor Agency will not receive any RPTTF for the ROPS, therefore based on SB 107 amended; the Successor Agency is not entitled to receive funds for the administrative budget.

3. Proposals For Arrangements For Administration and Operations Services (Health and Safety Code Section 34177(j)(3).

The RSA has arranged with the City of Santa Clara to provide the staff services, office, materials, and equipment to administer the responsibilities of the RSA, and will draw upon services of outside consultants as required to provide special services for the wind-down of the former RDA to the extent City staff lacks the necessary expertise or capacity.